

# Investigation of batch anaerobic digestion and membrane filtration efficiency for the treatment of unhairing wastewater

N. Mlaik<sup>a,\*</sup>, L. Martin-González<sup>b</sup>, S. Woodward<sup>c</sup>, J. Bouzid<sup>a</sup>, T. Mechichi<sup>d</sup>, M. Sarra<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Water Energy and Environment, National Engineering School of Sfax BP 1173-3038, Sfax Tunisia.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Edifici Q, University of Autonoma, Campus Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Department of Plant and Soil Science, Cruickshank Building, St. Machar Drive, Aberdeen AB24 3UU, Scotland, UK

<sup>d</sup> Laboratory of Enzymatic Engineering and Microbiology, National Engineering School of Sfax, BP 1173-3038, Sfax Tunisia.

\*Corresponding author: mnajwa212@yahoo.fr ; Tel.: +21674 665190; Fax: +216 74 665 190

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History:Received: 09/12/2018Accepted: 24/06/2020	<b>Abstract:</b> The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficiencies of the anaerobic batch digestion test and the membrane filtration process in treating unhairing wastewater from tanneries.
Key Words: Unhairing wastewater; Anaerobic Digestion; Microfiltration; Microtoxicity assay	During the anaerobic test, the higher initial organic load decreased the biogas yield. With an initial organic matter load of 5 g COD/L, the biogas yield was of 367 mL/g COD introduced, compared to the 250 mL/g COD introduced when the initial organic matter load was 2.7 g COD/ L. Microfiltration (MF) of unhairing wastewater using a 0.2 µm pore-size membrane resulted in high removal efficiencies, 100% for bacteria and 98.5 and 99% for turbidity in the 1st and 2nd
	<i>MF</i> series, respectively. These results confirmed the importance of <i>MF</i> for the removal of suspended solids (SS) from unhairing wastewater. A microtoxicity assay showed only the anaerobic batch digestion test carried out under low initial COD was able to adequately reduce the toxicity of unhairing effluents.

# I. Introduction

Production in tanneries can be divided into four main categories: (1) hide and skin storage and beamhouse operations, (2) tanning operations, (3) post-tanning operations and (4) finishing operations [1]. Tanning is one of the most polluting industrial activities in the world. Because the transformation of raw materials into the finished product occurs mainly in water, the wastewater is heavily loaded with pollutants [2]. During tannery operations, two components, the sodium sulfide used in the dehairing stage and the chromium used in the tanning stage, are potential toxicants [3].

The wastewater discharged from tanning, therefore, is highly complex, concentrated, and toxic. These

pollutants are expressed in terms of chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5), total suspended solids (TSS), and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), as well as sulfur, phosphorus, and chromium compounds [4]. The wastewater, therefore, is characterized by a high load of contaminants that requires considerable treatment before it can be discharged into a body of water.

Many processes have been used in the treatment of tannery wastewaters [5], including biological [6-7], oxidative [8-9] and chemical processes [10]. Tannery wastewaters are characterized by high organic loads, the recalcitrant components of which respond to biological degradation treatment methods [11], particularly anaerobic digestion. The

use of anaerobic processes to treat tannery wastewater offers significant advantages over aerobic processes. These include low sludge production, low energy requirements and the potential for energy recovery [12-13]. Anaerobic methods, which have received increasing attention in recent years, involve the degradation and stabilization of complex organic matter by a consortium of microorganisms leading to the production of energy-rich biogas that can be used as renewable energy [14]. Anaerobic digestion can be carried out in batch or continuous modes [15]. The choice of wastewater treatment process depends on several factors, including efficiency, cost and environmental capability [16]. Recently, membrane technology has become increasingly attractive for wastewater treatment and recycling. The main advantage of a membrane process is that the concentration and separation of pollutants is achieved without changing the physical state of the effluents and without chemical inputs. Membrane processes. such as microfiltration (MF)nanofiltration (NF), ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO), have been examined for the treatment of tannery wastes including the recovery of particular chemicals (e.g., chromium) [17-19]. Additionally, UF and MF remove bacteria efficiently, with selectivity dominated by a sieving effect [20-21].

The objective of this research was to assess combined anaerobic batch digestion and the MF approach for the treatment of unhairing the wastewater generated by a Tunisian tannery. The toxicity of the treated and untreated wastewater was evaluated using a microtoxicity test based on changes in bioluminescence of Vibrio fischeri.

# **II. Materials and Methods**

### II.1. Raw wastewater

The unhairing-liming bath at a Tunisian tannery was sampled and the effluents filtered through stainless steel sieves (140  $\mu$ m) to remove hair, pieces of skin and fats. Samples were stored in the dark at 4±1°C until use.

# II.2. Batch anaerobic assay

### II.2.1. Inoculum

A mesophilic-anaerobic inoculum was obtained from the municipal solid waste treatment plant Ecoparc I (Barcelona, Spain) and stored in a 10 L plastic container under strict anaerobic conditions until use.

## II.2.2. Substrate

Raw unhairing wastewater, from which sulfide had been completely removed by stripping with nitrogen, was used as the substrate in all batch reactors.

### **II.2.3.** Experimental system

Anaerobic batch tests were based on the methods of Field et al. [22] with adaptations based on Angelidaki et al. [23].

The effect of the organic load on the anaerobic process was examined in batch culture experiments using initial COD concentrations of 5 and 2.7 g/L. The controls (blanks) contained only inoculum and tap water and were used to determine biogas production due to depletion of the residual biodegradable organic material and endogenous respiration.

All samples had an initial pH of 7.5; a solution of 15 M sodium bicarbonate was used as buffer. One hundred and fifty mL of wastewater were inoculated with 37 mL of inoculum (VS 5 g/L) and bottles were filled with tap water to a final volume of 0.6 L. The mixture was incubated in 1 L aluminum bottles (traveller SIGG®, Spain), which were purged with N<sub>2</sub> and tightly sealed under the conditions described below. The bottles were incubated under strictly static anaerobic conditions in a temperature-controlled chamber at 37 °C for 40 days until no further biogas production was detected.

All batch assays were carried out in triplicate; results are expressed as the means.

# II.3. Microfiltration

### II.3.1. Microfiltration tests pilot and membrane

A semi industrial plant (Tech-Sep, France) equipped with a Kerasep membrane was used for MF. The ceramic membrane, 0.4 m long, had 19 channels with an area of  $560.10^{-4}$  m<sup>2</sup> and a mean pore diameter of 0.2 µm. MF was conducted in a crossflow mode with a feed volume of 7 L in each batch.

After optimization, the filtration parameters used were a trans-membrane pressure (TMP) of 1.6 bar and a cross-flow velocity (U) of 2.25 m/s at a constant temperature of 20°C.

# II.3.2. Membrane cleaning and laws

After each experiment, the membrane was chemically cleaned. The cleaning procedure was carried out in successive cycles, the number of which was dependent on the membrane and the effluent quality. Each cycle comprised an initial warm water rinse (approx.  $50^{\circ}$ C) followed by flushing at  $80^{\circ}$ C with an alkaline solution (e.g. sodium hydroxide), flushing at  $60^{\circ}$ C with acidic solution (e.g., nitric acid) and a final water rinse until a neutral pH was attained for every stream leaving the system. The cleaning effect was controlled by measuring water flux under standard conditions. The permeate flux (*J*) can be expressed by the resistances-in series model:

$$J = \frac{TMP}{\mu R_t}$$
(1)

Where:  $\text{TMP} = \frac{P_f + P_r}{2} - P_p$  (2)

in which J is the flux (L/h/m<sup>2</sup>), P is the transmembrane pressure (bar),  $\mu$  *is* the dynamic viscosity of the feed (Pa.s), and P<sub>f</sub>, P<sub>r</sub> and P<sub>p</sub> are, respectively, the pressure of the feed, the retentate and the permeate (bar). R<sub>t</sub> is the total resistance to flow (m<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **II.4.** Analytical methods

Chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) total organic carbon content (TOC), pH, volatile fatty acids (VFA), suspended solids (SS), total solids (TS), volatile solids (VS), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), sulfide (S<sup>2-</sup>), fats, conductivity and turbidity, were determined using standard methods APHA [24]. All results are presented as averages of duplicates with standard deviations.

Quantitative biogas production was followed by measuring the pressure increase in the headspace by means of an SMC (ISE30) Pressure Switch manometer (1 MPa, 5% accuracy) at 37 °C. Biogas production of blank (inoculum only) batches was subtracted from biogas production in each treatment to obtain the biogas production, which was then expressed in standard temperature and pressure conditions.

For the MF process, all aerobic mesophilic bacteria were enumerated on Plat count agar after an aerobic 24 h incubation at 37  $^{\circ}$ C [25].

A Microtox system obtained from Microbics Corporation was used to test for changes in toxicity of the effluent during remediation. The assay was based on the decrease in the amount of light emitted by the bioluminescent marine bacterium Vibrio fischeri on contact with the sample. Toxicity is inversely proportional to the intensity of light emitted after contact with toxic substances. The effective concentration, EC<sub>50</sub>, is defined as the concentration that produces a 50% light reduction. EC<sub>50</sub> was measured after 5 min of contact time. A color correction was applied according to the Microtox instructions. To obtain 50% inhibition, the fractions were diluted wherever necessary with purified water containing 2% NaCl. This diluent was also used as a non-toxic control. Effluent toxicity is expressed in units of  $EC_{50}$ .

#### III. Results and discussion

# III.1.Characterization of raw unhairing wastewater

The physico-chemical parameters of the raw unhairing effluent are presented in Table 1. All samples had high COD and BOD<sub>5</sub>, 30000 and 7600



mg/L, respectively. Unhairing effluent samples had high concentrations of TKN (1100-4500 mg/L), and sulfide (780-3500 mg  $S^{2-}/L$ ).

**Table 1.** Physico- chemical composition of rawunhairing wastewater

Parameters	Values Range
pH	11 -13
Chemical oxygen demand (mg/L)	9000 - 30000
Biological oxygen demand (mg/L)	2100 - 7600
Suspended solid (mg/L)	4100 - 12000
Total solids (mg/L)	8600 - 28000
Fat (mg/L)	52 - 235
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/L) Sulfides(mg/L)	1100 – 4500 780 -3500

### III.2. Batch anaerobic tests III.2.1. Biogas production

The biogas yield of unhairing wastewater during the incubation period (40 days) is shown in Figure 1. An initial lag phase of up to 4 days was observed in all assays. This phase may be due to the presence of toxic compounds in the unhairing wastewater that inhibit microorganism growth. Kroeker et al. [26] demonstrated that effluents can negatively affect microorganisms, shifting the microbial populations or inhibiting bacterial growth. Inhibition of bacterial growth is usually indicated by a decrease in the steady-state rate of biogas production or the accumulation of organic acids [27]. In this work, the small amount of biogas produced indicated that there was microbial inhibition during the incubation period.

Following the lag phase, biogas production increased markedly in all batches, suggesting an increase in microorganism activity. The enrichment began to adapt to the new substrate, and the rate of biogas evolution increased, most likely with an increase in the density and activity of the microbial population.

It can be observed that the biogas yield varied with the organic load applied. Therefore, at the end of incubation the biogas yield of 250 mL/g COD was obtained with an initial organic matter loading of 5 g COD/L. Nevertheless, the biogas yield was 367 mL/g COD for initial organic load of 2.7 g COD/L. These results are in contrast with the finding of Al-Masri [28] and Schievano et al. [29], who reported that higher biogas-yield is obtained at higher initial OLRs.



*Figure 1. The biogas yield through time over the 40 day incubation period of unhairing wastewater.* 

### **III.2.2. VFA**

The concentration of VFA was determined (Fig. 2). The major product of VFA was acetic acid, most likely due to a nearly neutral pH in the influent. On the basis of thermodynamics, Rodriguez et al. [30] predicted that acetate would be the major product of VFA at a low partial pressure of hydrogen and neutral pH, while at lower pH and/or higher hydrogen pressure, butyrate should be the major product.

The final VFA concentration (1.65 g/L) in the assay with an initial organic load of 5 g COD/L was more important than that in assay with organic load of 2.7 g COD/L (Fig.2). Demirel and Yenigün [31] explained that the rate of VFA production increased in proportion to increasing OLR.



**Figure 2.** VFA concentration before and after anaerobic digestion of unhairing wastewater. (Run 1: Initial Organic load=5 g COD/L; Run 2: Initial Organic load=2.7 g COD/L)Vertical bars represent the standard deviation.

## **III.3.** Microfiltration assay

## III.3.1. Permeate flux

During MF assays, the flux declined, reaching final values of 20.38 after 89 minutes in run 1 and 35.7 L/h/m<sup>2</sup> after 72 min in run 2 (Fig. 3). Decreasing the initial SS concentration, therefore, increased permeate flux. Membrane fouling obviously occurred rapidly once the membrane module was put into operation, leading to these decreases. Membrane fouling plays a key role in filtration processes, and several factors may contribute to this effect, including cake formation, adsorptive fouling mechanisms and the blockage of pores [32]. The effect has also been reported by other authors who processed raw wastewater with high organic contents [33-34].



**Figure 3.** Effect of initial SS concentration on permeate flux during MF of unhairing wastewater (Series 1: SS = 10.9 g/L; Series 2: SS = 5.1 g/L).

### III.3.2. Water quality results

The efficiency of the selected MF membrane was assessed on the basis of the removal of several pollutants present in the unhairing wastewater.

# **III.3.2.1.** Non-specific parameters and microbial pollution

Conductivity and pH were not significantly affected by MF. However, there was a noticeable decrease in suspended solids after MF. SS removal efficiencies were 92.46 and 93.64 % during the 1st and the 2<sup>nd</sup> runs, respectively. Thus the MF membrane clearly presented a complete barrier to high molecular weight particles and particle-related load, whilst maintaining some permeability to dissolved compounds. Suspended solids, therefore, were in negligible quantities in the permeate, and turbidity removal were 98.5 % and 99 % at the end of the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> series of MF, respectively. The total numbers of bacterial colonies found in the influent were 1727 and 586 CFU before the 1st and the 2<sup>nd</sup> assays, respectively. After the two MF assays, bacteria were completely removed (100 %). With an MF pore diameter of 0.2 µm), all bacteria should be removed from suspension.

These results support those of our previous study [35], which showed a complete elimination of bacteria from the MF permeate of treated unhairing



wastewater following treatment in an activated sludge system.

# III.3.2.2. Parameters indicating organic pollution

During MF assays, there was a decrease in the organic matter content of permeate (Figure 4). COD removals were 45.7 and 19.9 % after the 1<sup>st</sup> and the  $2^{nd}$  series of MF, respectively. After MF assays, BOD<sub>5</sub> removal efficiencies were 53 and 22.7 % for series 1 and 2, respectively. These results indicate that increasing the initial SS concentration led to decreases in COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> after the MF assays. These removal efficiencies can vary based on the type of organic fraction in the suspended form [36].



**Figure 4.** COD concentration and BOD and COD removal efficiency during MF assays (Series 1: SS = 10.9 g/L; Series 2: SS = 5.1 g/L). **III.4. Microtoxicity assay** 

The wastewater samples treated in this work were highly polluted with organic compounds, as indicated by high COD,  $BOD_5$  and TKN concentrations. All measured values exceeded the limits set for tannery wastewaters discharging into receiving streams (Table 1). Therefore, raw unhairing wastewater, with an  $EC_{50}$  of 0.5 %, is toxic. Similar results were reported by Vidal et al. [37] who found that the untreated unhairing wastewater to be toxic, as indicated by exposure of *Daphnia magna* and *Daphnia pulex* to the wastewater for 24 or 48 hours.

Effluent from run 1 had an  $EC_{50}$  of 1 %; hence, both the untreated and anaerobic treated samples from run 1 were toxic. It is possible that the toxicity was caused by the organic load remaining in the treated unhairing wastewater. Alternatively, the toxicity could be due to the presence of inorganic ions, such as ammonium [37-38], in the effluent.

At the end of the incubation for run 2, the  $EC_{50}$  of the treated effluent, 6%, was not toxic. In this case the reduction in toxicity was not attributed to the efficiency of the anaerobic treatment, but rather to the low initial organic load used, which led to low remaining organic matter concentrations after anaerobic digestion.

MF also had little effect on the microtoxicity of unhairing wastewater because the  $EC_{50}$  of samples from series 1 and 2 were 1 and 2 %, respectively, after 5 min of exposure of *V. fischeri*. Thus the MF process will not contribute to detoxifying unhairing effluents.

These results showed that the toxicity in these assays was due to the wastewater characteristics and to the type of treatment process. These results agree with those of Klinkow et al. [39], who found that toxicity to *V. fischeri* changed depending on the type of effluent treatment.

The microtoxicity assays showed the need to use a combination of biological and physico-chemical processes to treat unhairing wastewaters from leather factories.

# **IV.** Conclusion

During the batch anaerobic digestion of unhairing effluent, the cumulative volume of biogas and the VFA concentration, which had acetic acid as its major component, increased in proportion to the initial organic load in the system. The highest biogas yield from unhairing wastewater was achieved with the low organic load.

Overall, the MF of unhairing wastewater leads to an excellent reduction in turbidity, removal of suspended solids and bacteria from the effluent. The permeate flux and removal of COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> increased with decreasing initial SS.

The microtoxicity assay suggested that neither anaerobic digestion nor the MF processes were able to reduce toxicity of the unhairing wastewater to an acceptable level, except when a low initial organic load was applied.

# V. References

- Durai, G.; Rajasimman, M. Biological treatment of tannery wastewater : A review. Journal of environmental Science and Technology 4, (2011) 1-17.
- Mannucci, A.; Munz, G.; Mori, G.; Lubello, C. Anaerobic treatment of vegetable tannery wastewaters: A review. Desalination 264, (2010) 1-8.
- Song, Z.; Williams, C. J.; Edyvean, R.G.J. Coagulation and anaerobic digestion of tannery wastewater, Process Safety and Environmental Protection 79, (2001) 23-28.
- El-Sheikh, M.A.; Saleh, H.I.; Flora, J.R.; Abd El-Ghany M.R. Biological tannery wastewater treatment using two stage UASB reactors. Desalination 276, (2011) 253-259.
- Durai, G.; Rajamohan, N.; Karthikeyan, C.; Rajasimman, M. Kinetics Studies on Biological treatment of tannery wastewater using mixed culture. International Journal of Chemical Biology and Engieering 2, (2010) 105-109.
- Ahn, D.H.; Chung, Y.C.; Yoo, Y.J.; Pak, D.W.; Chang, W.S. Improved treatment of tannery wastewater using zoogloea ranigera and its extracellular polymer in an activated sludge process. Biotechnology Letters. 18, (1996) 917-922.

- Farabegoli, G.; Carucci, A.; Majone, M.; Rolle, E. Biological treatment of tannery wastewater in the presence of chromium. Journal of Environmental Management 71, (2004) 345-349.
- Lofrano, G.; Meriç, S.; Belgirno, V.; Napoli, R.M.A. Fentons oxidation of various - based tanning materials. Desalination 211, (2007) 10-21.
- Dogruel, S.; Ates, G.E.; Germirli, B.F.; Orhon, D. Ozonation of non biodegradable organics in tannery wastewater. Journal of Environmental Science and Health. 39, (2004) 1705-1715.
- Song, Z.; Williams, C.J.; Edyvean, R.G.J. Treatment of tannery wastewater by chemical coagulation. Desalination 164, (2004) 249-259.
- Houshyar, Z.; Khoshfetrat, A.B.; Fatehifar, E. Influence of ozonation process on characteristics of pre-alkalized tannery effluents. Chemical. Engineering Journal 191, (2012) 59-65.
- Van Staikenburg, W. Anaerobic treatment of wastewater: state of the art. Microbiology 66, (1997) 589-596.
- Chen, Y.; Cheng, J.J.; Creamer, K.S. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A review. Bioresource Technolology 99, (2008) 4044-4064.
- Li, Y.; Park, S.Y.; Zhu, J. Solid-state anaerobic digestion for methane production from organic waste. Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews 15, (2011) 821-826.
- Rozzi, A.; Remigi, E. Methods of assessing microbial activity and inhibition under anaerobic conditions: a literature review. Review in Environmental Science and Biotechnology 3, (2004) 93-115.
- Rameshraja, D.; Suresh, S. Treatment of Tannery Wastewater by Various Oxidation and Combined Processes. International Journal of Environmental Research 5, (2011) 349-360.
- Cassano, A.; Adzet, J.; Molinari, R.; Buonomenna, M.G.; Roig, J.; Drioli, E. Membrane treatment by nanofiltration of exhausted vegetable tannin liquors from the leather industry. Water Research 37, (2003) 2426-2434.
- El-Manharawy, S.; Hafez, A.: Design and performance of the two-stage/two-pass RO membrane system for chromium removal from tannery wastewater. Part 3. Desalination 165, (2004) 141-51.
- Unni Nair, B.; Rao R.J.; Thanikaivelan P.; Kanthimathi M.; Suresh, V. An improved productprocess for cleaner chrome tanning in leather processing. Journal of Cleaner Production 9, (2001) 483-91.
- Ravazzini A.M.; Van Nieuwenhuijzen A.F.; Van Der Graaf J.H.M.J. Direct ultrafiltration of municipal wastewater: comparison between filtration of raw sewage and primary clarifier effluent. Desalination 178, (2005) 51-62.
- Sadr Ghayeni, S.B.; Madaeni, S.S.; Fane, A.G.; Schneider, R.P. Aspects of microfiltration and reverse osmosis in municipal wastewater reuse. Desalination 106, (1996) 25-29.
- Field, J.; Sierra, R.; Lettinga, G. Ensayos anaerobios. Proc. of 4th Symp. on Wastewater Anaerobic Treatment, Valladolid, Spain, 1988. 52-81.
- Angelidaki, I.; Alves, M.; Bolzonella, D.; Borzacconi, L.; Campos, J.L.; Guwy, A.J.; Kalyuzhnyi, S.; Jenicek, P.; Van Lier, J.B. Defining the biomethane potential (BMP) of solid organic wastes and energy

crops: a proposed protocol for batch assays. Water Science Technology 59, (2009) 927-934.

- 24. APHA (1995). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater [J] (19th ed.). Washington: American Public Health Association.
- APHA (1999). Estimation of bacterial density. In: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater [J] (17th ed.). Washington: American Public Health Association.
- Kroeker, E.J.; Schulte, D.D.; Sparling, A.B.; & Lapp, H.M. Anaerobic treatment process stability. Journal of Water Pollution Control Federation 51, (1979) 718-727.
- Chen, Y.; Cheng, J.; Creamer, K.S. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: a review. Bioresoure Technology 99, (2008) 4044-4064.
- Al-Masri, M.R. Changes in biogas production due to different ratios of some animal and agricultural wastes. Bioresoure Technology 77, (2001) 97-100.
- Schievano, A.; Pognani, M.; D'Imporzano, G.; Adani, F. Predicting anaerobic biogasification potential of ingestates and digestates of a full-scale biogas plant using chemical and biological parameters. Bioresoure Technology 99, (2008) 8112-8117.
- Rodriguez, J.; Kleerebezem, R.; Lema, J.M.; Loosdresht, M.C.M. Modeling product formation in anaerobic mixed culture fermentations. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 93, (2005) 592-606.
- Demirel, B.; Yenigün, O. Changes in microbial ecology in an anaerobic reactor. Bioresource Technology 97, (2006) 1201-1208.
- 32. Benítez, F.J.; Acero, J.L.; Leal, A.I.; González, M. The use of ultrafiltration and nanofiltration membranes for the purification of cork processing wastewater. Journal of Hazardous Materials 162, (2009) 1438-1445.
- 33. Mameri, N.; Halet F.; Drouiche, M.; Grib, H.; Lounici, H.; Pauss A.; Piron D.; Belhocine, D. Treatment of olive mill washing water by ultrafiltration. Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering 78, (2000) 590-595.
- Brites, A.M.; De Pinho, M.N. Ultrafiltration for colour removal of tannery dyeing wastewaters. Desalination 130, (2000) 47-154.
- Mlaik, N.; Bouzid, J.; Belbahri, L.; Woodward, S.; Mechichi, T. Combined biological processing and microfiltration in the treatment of unhairing wastewater. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 19, (2012) 226-234.
- Alonso, E.; Santos, A.; Solis, G.J.; Riesco, P. On the feasibility of urban wastewater tertiary treatment by membranes: a comparative assessment. Desalination 141, (2001) 39-51.
- Vidal, G.; Nieto, J.; Cooman, K.; Gajardo, C.; Bornhardt, M. Unhairing effluents treated by activated sludge system. Journal of Hazardous Materials B122, (2004). 143-149.
- Van Sprang, P.A.; Janssen C.R. Identification and confirmation of ammonia toxicity in contaminated sediments using a modified toxicity identification evaluation approach. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 16, (1997) 2501-2507.
- Klinkow, N.; Oleksy-Frenzel, J.; Jeckel, M. Toxicitydirected fractionation of organic compounds in tannery wastewater with regard to their molecular weight and polarity. Water Research 32(1998) 2583-2592.

## Please cite this Article as:

Mlaik N., Martin-González L., Woodward S., Bouzid J., Mechichi T., Sarra M., Investigation of batch anaerobic digestion and membrane filtration efficiency for the treatment of unhairing wastewater, *Algerian J. Env. Sc. Technology*, 8:3(2022) 2590-2595